I strongly oppose any efforts to ban, stifle or impede XM satellite or any other from of broadcasting that is capable of offering important information. I own and operate a truck, good traffic information that is continuos and current is very important. If I am hung out in traffic that could have otherwise been avoided, then I lost money. When I loose money it reduces my profits. So as good business, I look for better ways to become more efficient in my operations. I have been a subscriber of XM for over a year, and the biggest reason is, I have a choice.

I am sick and tired of the FM or AM radio stations and the garbage; you get news on the hour. Well if I am in Chicago at 9:15, then the 9 o?clock traffic has had 15 minutes to change. Often for the worse. The bottom line is, I chose XM for the service they provide and helps me avoid traffic problems, and prepare for weather changes that is broadcasted, ?continually?. I can venture off to other channels or regular radio, and come back to the traffic and know with in minutes, ?Up to date and close to real time data?. This is one way to make my company efficient, productive and profitable.

If the radio stations want me back then they are going to have to become, ?competitive?. And offer a similar service to the weather and road conditions. For you the FCC to impose sanctions upon a service such as XM satellite radio would be to stifle the market and eliminate creative marketing and business.

It?s wrong what you impose, and I will collect as many people as possible to keep any action at bay.

Respectfully, Rocco DeBeneditto Verdtech, LLC